

# Telecoms operators should prioritise the launch of SD-WAN

August 2019

Tom Rebbeck

Many telecoms operators are postponing the introduction of SD-WAN or are launching it cautiously, primarily because of the perceived risk that it will cannibalise revenue from their existing MPLS services. Analysys Mason believes that delaying the launch of SD-WAN is a mistake because other types of service providers are launching SD-WAN regardless of what telecoms operators are doing. By waiting, telecoms operators risk losing business to more-aggressive competitors and may also miss out on opportunities to learn how best to sell and support SD-WAN. By the time that these operators do add SD-WAN, it may be too late.

This article, which is based on our recently published report [How telecoms operators can launch SD-WAN](#), argues that operators should look to expedite a simple SD-WAN product and use it as a base for adding more features and services – and for generating new revenue streams – over time.

## Operators' arguments against launching SD-WAN can be countered

Many of the reasons that explain operators' reluctance to introduce SD-WAN can be countered (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Arguments and counter-arguments for launching SD-WAN [Source: Analysys Mason, 2019]

Reasons for delaying SD-WAN	Counter-argument
Impact on MPLS revenue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In many regions/countries (for example, in Europe or Australia), MPLS circuits cost the same as dedicated internet access circuits.</li> <li>In countries where MPLS solutions are more expensive than internet circuits, enterprises are already migrating to lower-cost internet circuits and are adding SD-WAN over the top.</li> </ul>
Weak business case	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Many small service providers are launching SD-WAN, often based on simple solutions such as Cisco Meraki, which require limited investment.</li> <li>Telecoms operators will need to match their competitors to retain market share, even if returns are not as high as for other services.</li> </ul>
Limited demand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In countries where there are significant cost advantages for SD-WAN (such as the USA) demand already exists.</li> <li>Elsewhere, demand is emerging, but it will take time for service providers to understand which features are most appealing in these markets. Service providers that provide SD-WAN already are learning quickly; others need to catch up.</li> </ul>
SD-WAN cannot support critical services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increasing number of service providers are using SD-WAN to support business-critical services (including a call centre that uses dedicated internet circuits and SD-WAN).</li> </ul>

These counter-arguments build an essentially negative case: operators need to launch SD-WAN, and if they do not, other providers will. More-positive reasons for supplying SD-WAN also need consideration. Eventually, SD-WAN could be a platform for providing new revenue-generating services, including security, LAN management, and even IoT services.

Former Harvard Business School professor Clark Gilbert describes how innovation can be framed as a threat, as a way of securing resources, and then as an opportunity once resources are secured.<sup>1</sup> The same approach could be used by operators launching SD-WAN.

## Operators' initial SD-WAN offers can be simple

Telecoms operators do not need to have a complicated product when they initially launch, and probably should avoid this. Even a relatively simple solution will take many months to develop; providers should avoid additional barriers by making the solution too complex. Figure 2 provides a suggested approach to the launch.

Figure 2: Overview of a suggested initial SD-WAN technology, features, target market and sales strategy [Source: Analysys Mason, 2019]



Source: Analysys Mason

<sup>1</sup> Clark G, Gilbert, Harvard Business School. *Can competing frames co-exist? The paradox of threatened response*. Available at [www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/02-056\\_e1900597-4a17-41cf-9fc5-7f92f65c07c0.pdf](http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/02-056_e1900597-4a17-41cf-9fc5-7f92f65c07c0.pdf).

The underlying technology can come from one or two vendors. With limited technology differentiation, there is little need for most service providers to integrate and launch solutions from multiple vendors. The standard features that run on top of SD-WAN can also be limited to a few basic services, such as firewalls. Businesses may value a selection of firewall vendors more than they do a selection of SD-WAN solutions, especially if this allows them to continue their relationships with trusted security suppliers.<sup>2</sup>

## Operators' early efforts to sell SD-WAN can be targeted at specific customers

Operators can simplify the process of selling SD-WAN by targeting a select group of customers. Companies that have multiple sites and meet some of the following criteria are most likely to be interested.

- High usage of cloud services
- Cost-conscious
- Likely to add/take down sites
- Sites of varying size.

The retail, finance and insurance, construction and manufacturing sectors are most likely to meet these criteria.

Perhaps equally important is the attitude of a potential customer's organisation to new technology. SD-WAN will initially only be adopted by IT departments that are more open to using new technology, regardless of vertical market or size. SD-WAN is also still viewed as a new and relatively unproven technology by many businesses. Operators can focus their sales resources more effectively by filtering prospects by those open to new technology (for example, companies that adopted the use of cloud early),.

Finally, we recommend that operators focus on direct sales, at least initially. All services providers that use channel partners will want to sell SD-WAN through these channels. However, few have to date. Selling through channel partners can be a longer-term ambition but will be a distraction in the near term.

## The risks of being late to the SD-WAN market outweigh those for being too early

SD-WAN will probably become the default technology for connecting wide-area networks (some services providers are already exploring when to stop offering MPLS to new customers). All business-focused operators will need to introduce it at some point, or they will be pushed towards the provision of basic connectivity/wholesale offers, with others commanding the primary customer relationship. Starting early will allow a service provider time to experiment with the technology while the market is developing. Launching late may leave less time and resource to experiment.

More fundamentally, many operators have a problem in how they are perceived by business customers: customers view many operators as expensive, non-responsive and unwilling to innovate. An early introduction of SD-WAN will demonstrate to customers that some of these issues are being addressed, while delaying will do nothing to fix the sense of frustration that customers feel. The risk is that established operators launch late, never

<sup>2</sup> Multinational corporations (MNCs) and very large enterprises may need more-complex, customised solutions.

catch up their more-ambitious competitors and only add to their reputation for being slow and lacking in innovation.